

TOPOLOGICAL ORBIT EQUIVALENCE CLASSES AND NUMERATION SCALES OF LOGISTIC MAPS

MARÍA ISABEL CORTEZ AND JUAN RIVERA-LETELIER

ABSTRACT. We show that every uniquely ergodic minimal Cantor system is topologically orbit equivalent to the natural extension of a numeration scale associated to a logistic map.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are mainly interested on orbit equivalence classes of dynamical systems given by a minimal homeomorphism acting on a Cantor set. Such a system is called *minimal Cantor system*. Two minimal Cantor systems are (*topologically*) *orbit equivalent* if there exists an orbit-preserving homeomorphism between their phase spaces. An orbit-preserving homeomorphism induces an affine homeomorphism between the corresponding spaces of invariant probability measures endowed with the weak* topology. However, there are minimal Cantor systems which are not orbit equivalent and yet their corresponding spaces of invariant probability measures are affine homeomorphic.

Giordano, Putnam and Skau gave several characterizations of orbit equivalence classes of minimal Cantor systems, see [GPS95, Theorem 2.2]. Among other results, they showed that two minimal Cantor systems are orbit equivalent if and only if there is a homeomorphism between their phase spaces that induces an affine homeomorphism between the corresponding spaces of invariant probability measures.

It is thus natural to look for an explicit family of minimal Cantor systems having a representative element from each orbit equivalence class. We call a family of minimal Cantor systems with this property *full*. Since the space of invariant probability measures of a minimal Cantor system is determined by its orbit equivalence class, up to an affine homeomorphism, a full family must realize every metrizable Choquet simplex up to an affine homeomorphism, as the space of invariant probability measures of one of its elements.

Downarowicz showed in [Dow91] that the family of 0-1 Toeplitz flows realizes every metrizable Choquet simplex up to an affine homeomorphism. Nevertheless, this family is not full, even within uniquely ergodic systems.

Key words and phrases. orbit equivalence, numeration scale, dimension group, generalized odometer, orbit equivalence, unimodal map.

M. I. Cortez acknowledges financial support from proyecto Fondecyt 1100318.

J. Rivera-Letelier acknowledges financial support from proyecto Fondecyt 1100922.

For example, no Toeplitz flow can be orbit equivalent to a Sturmian subshift, see §1.1.

In [CRL10a] we showed that the family of generalized odometers introduced by Bruin, Keller and St. Pierre in [BKSP97] to study post-critical sets of unimodal maps, realizes every metrizable Choquet simplex up to an affine homeomorphism. See §5.1 for the definition of the generalized odometer associated to a unimodal map, [Bru03, CRL10b] for other results about these systems and [BDIL00, BDL02, GLT95] and references therein for more information on generalized odometers, which are also known as “numeration scales” or “generalized adding machines”.

Thus, the question whether the family of (the natural extensions of) generalized odometers associated to unimodal maps is full, arises naturally. In this paper we show that this family is full within uniquely ergodic minimal Cantor systems. Note that a minimal Cantor system which is orbit equivalent to a uniquely ergodic one is also uniquely ergodic. The orbit equivalence class of such a system will be called *uniquely ergodic*.

Theorem A. *Every uniquely ergodic topological orbit equivalence class contains the natural extension of a generalized odometer associated to a unimodal map.*

The family formed by the usual odometers and by the Denjoy systems is also full within uniquely ergodic minimal Cantor systems, see [GPS95, Corollary 2] and [PSS86]. However, this family is not full because it only contains uniquely ergodic systems, in contrast with the family of generalized odometers associated to unimodal maps which realizes every metrizable Choquet simplex up to an affine homeomorphism.

The notion of orbit equivalence applies without change to more general group actions. Recently, Giordano, Matui, Putnam and Skau showed that for each integer $d \geq 2$, every minimal continuous $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action on a Cantor set is orbit equivalent to a minimal Cantor system, see [GMPS08] and [GMPS10]. As a corollary of this result and of Theorem A, we get that every uniquely ergodic minimal continuous $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action on a Cantor set is orbit equivalent to the natural extension of a generalized odometer associated to a unimodal map.

We now state a version of Theorem A in terms of the *logistic family* of interval maps $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in (0,4]}$, defined for a parameter $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda : [0, 1] &\rightarrow [0, 1] \\ x &\mapsto \lambda x(1 - x). \end{aligned}$$

For each $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ the derivative of f_λ vanishes precisely at $x = 1/2$. We call $x = 1/2$ the *critical point* of f_λ and its ω -limit set is called the *post-critical set* of f_λ . It is a compact set that is forward invariant by f_λ .

Theorem B. *Let X be a Cantor set and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a minimal and uniquely ergodic homeomorphism. Then there is $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ such that the post-critical set X_λ of the logistic map f_λ is a Cantor set, the restriction*

of f_λ to X_λ is minimal and such that there is a continuous orbit preserving map $h : X \rightarrow X_\lambda$ whose inverse is defined outside the backward orbit of the critical point $x = 1/2$ of f_λ .

Note that the map h in the previous theorem is automatically onto because f_λ is minimal on X_λ . However, h is not likely to be a homeomorphism, because logistic maps are usually not injective on their post-critical sets.

One of the main ingredients in the proofs of Theorem A and of Theorem B is the unital ordered group associated to a minimal Cantor system introduced by Herman, Putnam and Skau in [HPS92]. Giordano, Putnam and Skau showed in [GPS95, Theorem 2.2] that this unital ordered group, modulo its infinitesimal subgroup, determines the orbit equivalence class of the corresponding minimal Cantor system. Thus, to show that a family of minimal Cantor systems is full within uniquely ergodic systems, it is enough to show that this family realizes every acyclic countable additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, up to infinitesimals, see §2.6 and Lemma 1 in §2.5. To do this we write each such group as a direct limit of non-negative matrices, which are essentially given by the iteration of a multidimensional Euclidean algorithm.*

We obtain as a consequence a canonical representation of a given finitely generated subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ as the direct limit of unimodular matrices, see Proposition 8 in §8 and compare with the original result of Riedel in [Rie81]. We complete the proof of Theorem A and Theorem B by showing that the matrices appearing in the direct limit can be represented as the transition matrices of a certain Bratteli-Vershik system which is conjugated to a generalized odometer associated to a unimodal map. This Bratteli-Vershik system was introduced by Bruin in [Bru03].

1.1. Notes and references. See [GW95, Put10] for other approaches to the results of Giordano, Putnam and Skau in [GPS95], on topological orbit equivalence for minimal Cantor systems.

Since the maximal equicontinuous factor of a Toeplitz flow is an odometer, see for example [Dow05], it follows that the unital ordered group associated to a Toeplitz flow contains as a subgroup an acyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}$, see for example [GW95, Proposition 3.1]. So by [GPS95, Theorem 2.2] it follows that the family of Toeplitz flows is not full within uniquely ergodic minimal Cantor systems. For example, no Toeplitz flow can be orbit equivalent to a Sturmian subshift, see for example [DDM00, Proposition 3.4]. See also [GJ00, §4.1] for further results on the unital ordered group associated to a Toeplitz flow.

The generalized odometers we construct in Theorem A are such that their associated unital ordered group has a trivial infinitesimal subgroup. So, if the minimal Cantor system (X, T) is such that its unital ordered group has a trivial infinitesimal subgroup, then the natural extension of the generalized odometer is strong orbit equivalent to (X, T) , see [GPS95, Theorem 2.1].

*It turns out this algorithm is somewhat similar to the (homogeneous) Jacobi-Perron algorithm, see for example [Ber71, Sch73].

It is not clear to us if in Theorem B is possible to choose $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ in such a way that the natural extension of (X_λ, f_λ) is orbit equivalent to (X, T) .

In [Shu05], Shultz introduces a dimension group associated to a piecewise monotone map f acting on the unit interval $[0, 1]$. This construction involves the dynamics of f on the whole interval $[0, 1]$. In contrast, we only consider the dynamics of a special class of unimodal maps acting on $[0, 1]$, but restricted to a strictly smaller invariant set. It is not clear to us if there is a direct relation between the corresponding dimension groups.

1.2. Organization. In §2 we introduce some notations and recall some basic facts, including the concept of dimension group (§2.5) and its relation with (non-invertible) minimal Cantor systems (§§2.6, 2.7).

In §3 we show that every finitely generated subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$ of rank at least 2, endowed with the order structure induced by the usual order structure of $\mathbb{R}$, is isomorphic to a direct limit of a certain class of unimodular matrices that we call “admissible” (Proposition 8 in §3.2). These matrices are defined by the iteration of a multidimensional Euclidean algorithm defined in §3.1, having as an input a positive real vector whose coordinates form a base Γ .

In §4 we show how to use the algorithm defined in §3.1 to represent a countable additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ that contains 1, but is not contained in $\mathbb{Q}$, as a direct limit of a certain class of matrices we call “basic”, see Proposition 11. These matrices appear naturally as transition matrices of the Bratteli-Vershik system associated to a generalized odometer associated to a unimodal map, see §5.1 for the definition of these objects. We deduce Theorem A and Theorem B from Proposition 11 and known facts in §5.2.

1.3. Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the referee for reading carefully the paper and making suggestions that helped improve the exposition.

2. DEFINITIONS AND BACKGROUND

The purpose of this section is to fix some notations and terminology and to recall some basic results that will be used in the rest of the paper.

We denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ the set of strictly positive integers. We use the interval notation to denote subsets of $\mathbb{Z}$, so for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$[n, m] = \begin{cases} \{n, n+1, \dots, m\} & \text{if } n \leq m; \\ \emptyset & \text{if } n \geq m+1. \end{cases}$$

For $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ we put $i + J = \{i + j : j \in J\}$.

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $[x]$ the integer part of x and by $\{x\} := x - [x] \in [0, 1)$ its fractional part.

2.1. Linear algebra. Given a finite set V and a vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^V$, for each $v \in V$ we denote by x_v the corresponding coordinate of $\vec{x}$. On the other hand we denote by $\vec{e}_v$ the vector in $\mathbb{R}^V$ having all of its coordinates equal to 0, except for the coordinate corresponding to v which is equal to 1.

Unless otherwise stated, all the vectors we consider are column vectors.

Given a finite subset V of $\mathbb{Z}$ we say that a vector $(x_v)_{v \in V} \in \mathbb{R}^V$ is *non-increasing* (resp. *strictly decreasing*) if for every $v, v' \in V$ such that $v' \geq v$ we have $x_{v'} \leq x_v$ (resp. $x_{v'} < x_v$).

Given finite sets I and I' , a $I \times I'$ *matrix* means a real matrix indexed by $I \times I'$. For $(i, i') \in I \times I'$ and a $I \times I'$ matrix M we denote by $M(i, i')$ the corresponding coefficient of M and by $M(\cdot, i')$ the corresponding column of M . We say that such a matrix M is *strictly positive* (resp. *non-negative, integer*) if each of its coefficients has the same property.

Recall that a square matrix with integer coefficients is *unimodular* if its inverse is defined and has integer coefficients.

2.2. Additive subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$. Let Γ be a finitely generated additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. Then Γ is free and therefore the elements of each base of Γ are rationally independent. On the other hand, if Γ' is a subgroup of Γ , then Γ' is finitely generated, free and of rank less than or equal to that of Γ .

2.3. Ordered groups. Let G be an Abelian group written additively. A *positive cone* of G is a subset G^+ verifying,

$$(G^+) + (G^+) \subseteq G^+, (G^+) + (-G^+) = G \text{ and } (G^+) \cap (-G^+) = \{0\}.$$

An *ordered group* is a pair (G, G^+) such that G is a group and G^+ is a positive cone of G . A positive cone G^+ of a group G defines a partial order $\leq$ on G defined for $a, b \in G$ by $a \leq b$ if $b - a \in G^+$.

The set

$$\mathbb{R}^+ := \{x \geq 0 : x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

is a positive cone of the additive group $\mathbb{R}$ which induces the usual order on $\mathbb{R}$.

Given ordered groups (G, G^+) and (H, H^+) we say that a group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is *positive* if $\phi(G^+) \subseteq H^+$. An *isomorphism of ordered groups* between (G, G^+) and (H, H^+) is a group isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ such that both, ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are positive.

An *order unit* of an ordered group (G, G^+) is an element $u \in G^+$ such that for every $g \in G$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g \leq nu$. A *unital ordered group* is a triple (G, G^+, u) such that (G, G^+) is an ordered group and u is an order unit. A homomorphism between two unital ordered groups (G, G^+, u) and (H, H^+, v) is a positive homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ such that $\phi(u) = v$.

A *state* of an ordered group (G, G^+) is a non-zero positive homomorphism from (G, G^+) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$. The *infinitesimal subgroup* $\inf G$ of (G, G^+) is

$$\inf(G) := \{g \in G : \phi(g) = 0 \text{ for every state } \phi \text{ of } G\}.$$

The quotient $G/\inf(G)$ has a natural structure of ordered group given by the positive cone

$$(G/\inf(G))^+ := \{[a] : a \in G^+\}.$$

If u is an order unit of G , then the projection of u in $G/\inf(G)$ is an order unit of $(G/\inf(G), (G/\inf(G))^+)$.

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$(\mathbb{Z}^m)^+ := \{(v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m : \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, v_i \geq 0\}.$$

is a positive cone of $\mathbb{Z}^m$. Note that for $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$ a $m \times m'$ matrix A with integer coefficients induces a positive homomorphism from $(\mathbb{Z}^{m'}, (\mathbb{Z}^{m'})^+)$ to $(\mathbb{Z}^m, (\mathbb{Z}^m)^+)$ if and only if all of its coefficients are non-negative.

2.4. Direct limits of ordered groups. Let $(G_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of groups and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\phi_n : G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ be a group homomorphism. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in G_n$ we denote by (v, n) the corresponding element of the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n$. Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation defined on $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n$ by $(v, n) \sim (v', n')$ if there is $m \geq \max\{n, n'\}$ such that

$$\phi_{m-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_n v = \phi_{m-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n'} v'.$$

Then the quotient of $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n$ by $\sim$ has a natural group structure and the resulting group is a direct limit of $(G_n, \phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in the category of groups. We denote this group by $\varinjlim (G_n, \phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and for $(v, n) \in \bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n$ we denote by $[v, n]$ its equivalence class for $\sim$.

Let $((G_n, G_n^+))_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of ordered groups and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\phi_n : G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ be a positive homomorphism. Then the group $H := \varinjlim (G_n, \phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ together with

$$H^+ := \{[v, n] : n \in \mathbb{N}, v \in G_n^+\}$$

forms an ordered group which is a direct limit of $((G_n, G_n^+), \phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in the category of ordered groups. By abuse of language we call (H, H^+) the *direct limit* of $((G_n, G_n^+), \phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and we denoted it by

$$\varinjlim ((G_n, G_n^+), \phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty.$$

2.5. Dimension groups. For references to this section see [Eff81, Goo86]. We say that an ordered group (G, G^+) is *unperforated* if for $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the property $ng \in G^+$ implies $g \in G^+$. On the other hand, we say (G, G^+) has the *Riesz interpolation property* if for $a, a', b, b' \in G$ satisfying

$$a \leq b, a \leq b', a' \leq b \text{ and } a' \leq b'$$

there is $c \in G$ such that $a \leq c \leq b$ and $a' \leq c \leq b'$. A countable ordered group (G, G^+) is a *dimension group* if it is unperforated and has the Riesz interpolation property.

The following lemma characterizes dimension groups with a unique state up to a scalar factor, up to infinitesimals. It is a direct consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 1. *If Γ is a countable additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, then $\Gamma^+ := \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ is a positive cone of Γ and (Γ, Γ^+) is a dimension group. Furthermore, the inclusion of Γ in $\mathbb{R}$ is the unique state of (Γ, Γ^+) up to a scalar factor and the infinitesimal group of (Γ, Γ^+) is trivial.*

Conversely, if (G, G^+) is a dimension group having a unique state ϕ up to a scalar factor, then $\phi(G)$ is a countable subgroup of the additive group $\mathbb{R}$ and ϕ induces a positive homomorphism between $(G/\inf(G), (G/\inf(G))^+)$ and $(\phi(G), \phi(G) \cap \mathbb{R}^+)$.

We will use the following lemma to represent dimension groups with a unique state up to a scalar factor, modulo infinitesimals.

Given a sequence $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathbb{N}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a non-negative $d_n \times d_{n+1}$ matrix A_n , we define the inverse limit

$$\varprojlim \left((\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_n}, A_n \right)_{n=1}^\infty,$$

as the subset of $\prod_{n=1}^\infty (\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_n}$ of all those $(\vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\vec{x}^{(n)} = L_n \vec{x}^{(n+1)}$.

Lemma 2. *Let $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let L_n be a $d_{n+1} \times d_n$ matrix with non-negative integer coefficients and consider the ordered group*

$$(G, G^+) := \varprojlim \left((\mathbb{Z}^{d_n}, (\mathbb{Z}^{d_n})^+), L_n \right)_{n=1}^\infty.$$

Assume there is $(\vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty (\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_n}$ such that

$$\varprojlim \left((\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_n}, L_n^T \right)_{n=1}^\infty = \left\{ \left(\lambda \vec{x}^{(n)} \right)_{n=1}^\infty : \lambda \geq 0 \right\}$$

and let Γ be the additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the coordinates of each of the vectors in $(\vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$. Then (G, G^+) is a dimension group and the function $\tilde{\phi} : \bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}^{d_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\tilde{\phi}((\vec{v}, n)) = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{x}^{(n)} \rangle$ induces a function

$$\phi : (G, G^+) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$$

which is the unique state of (G, G^+) up to a scalar factor. In particular, ϕ induces an isomorphism of ordered groups between $(G/\inf(G), (G/\inf(G))^+)$ and $(\Gamma, \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Proof. That (G, G^+) is a dimension group is shown in [Eff81, Theorem 3.1].

To show that $\tilde{\phi}$ induces a function defined on (G, G^+) , observe that if $[\vec{v}, n]$ and $[\vec{v}', n']$ are equivalent elements in $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}^{d_n}$ with $n' \geq n$, then

$$\langle \vec{v}', \vec{x}^{(n')} \rangle = \langle L_{n'-1} \cdots L_n \vec{v}, \vec{x}^{(n')} \rangle = \langle \vec{v}, L_n^T \cdots L_{n'-1}^T \vec{x}^{(n')} \rangle = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{x}^{(n)} \rangle.$$

This shows $\tilde{\phi}([\vec{v}, \vec{x}^{(n)}]) = \tilde{\phi}([\vec{v}', \vec{x}^{(n')}])$, as wanted.

It remains to show that ϕ is the unique state of (G, G^+) up to a scalar factor, because the rest of the assertions follow from Lemma 1. Let ψ be a state of (G, G^+) and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$\vec{w}^{(n)} := (\psi([\vec{e}_1, n]), \dots, \psi([\vec{e}_{d_n}, n])) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_n},$$

so that for each $[\vec{v}, n] \in G$ we have $\psi([\vec{v}, n]) = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{w}^{(n)} \rangle$. Then

$$\vec{w}^{(n)} = (\psi([L_n \vec{e}_1, n+1]), \dots, \psi([L_n \vec{e}_{d_n}, n+1])) = L_n^T \vec{w}^{(n+1)},$$

so $(\vec{w}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$ is an element of $\varprojlim ((\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_n}, L_n^T)_{n=1}^\infty$. Our hypotheses implies that there is $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $(\vec{w}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty = (\lambda \vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$. Thus $\psi = \lambda \phi$. $\square$

2.6. The unital ordered group of a minimal Cantor system. In this section, as well as in the next section, a *minimal Cantor system* is a pair (X, T) , where X is a Cantor set and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous and surjective map whose action on X is minimal. If in addition T is a homeomorphism we call (X, T) a *homeomorphic minimal Cantor system*.[†]

We denote by $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the space of all continuous functions defined on X and taking values in $\mathbb{Z}$. We will denote by $\mathbf{1}_X \in C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the constant function equal to 1 on X . Since X has a countable base of clopen sets, the space $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is countable. Thus $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a countable Abelian group with the usual addition of functions.

The subgroup of *coboundaries* of $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is defined as

$$\partial_T C(X, \mathbb{Z}) := \{f - f \circ T : f \in C(X, \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

The quotient group $C(X, \mathbb{Z}) / \partial_T C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by $K^0(X, T)$ and the subset of $K^0(X, T)$ of all the equivalence classes represented by a non-negative function is denoted by $K^0(X, T)^+$. The equivalence class in $C(X, \mathbb{Z}) / \partial_T C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of a function f in $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by $[f]$. Then the triple

$$G(X, T) := (K^0(X, T), K^0(X, T)^+, [\mathbf{1}_X]),$$

is a unital ordered group, see [HPS92, Proposition 5.1].[‡] Below we show that $G(X, T)$ is canonically isomorphic as a unital ordered group to the corresponding group associated to the natural extension of (X, T) , see Proposition 3 in §2.7. It thus follows from [HPS92, Theorem 5.4] that $G(X, T)$ is actually a unital dimension group. We call $G(X, T)$ *the unital ordered group associated to (X, T)* . A dimension group is the unital ordered group associated to a (homeomorphic) minimal Cantor system if and only if it is acyclic and simple, see for example [HPS92, Corollary 6.3]. The quotient group $G(X, T) / \inf G(X, T)$ has a natural structure of unital ordered group, see §2.3, which we denote just by $G(X, T) / \inf G(X, T)$.

It follows from the definitions that if (X, T) and (X', T') are minimal Cantor systems and $h : X \rightarrow X'$ is a homeomorphism such that $h \circ T = T' \circ h$, then the linear map from $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to $C(X', \mathbb{Z})$ defined by $f \mapsto f \circ h$ induces an isomorphism of unital ordered groups between $G(X, T)$ and $G(X', T')$.

Giordano, Putnam and Skau show in [GPS95, Theorem 2.2] that for a homeomorphic minimal Cantor system the group $G(X, T) / \inf(G(X, T))$ determines the orbit equivalence class of (X, T) .

[†]In the introduction we used “minimal Cantor system” to refer to what we call in this section, as well as in the next one, a “homeomorphic minimal Cantor system”.

[‡]This result is only stated in the case where T is a homeomorphism, but the proof works in the case when T is not injective.

2.7. Unital ordered groups and natural extensions. Let (X, T) be a minimal Cantor system. The *natural extension* of (X, T) is the topological dynamical system $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{T})$ given by

$$\widehat{X} = \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in X^{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} : x_i = T(x_{i+1}), \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \right\},$$

and $\widehat{T}((x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}) = (T(x_0), x_0, x_1, \dots)$. Observe that $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{T})$ is a homeomorphic minimal Cantor system and that the projection on the first coordinate $\pi : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ is a factor map.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Let (X, T) be a minimal Cantor system and let $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{T})$ be its natural extension. Then the groups $G(X, T)$ and $G(\widehat{X}, \widehat{T})$ are isomorphic as unital ordered groups.*

To prove this proposition consider the following subgroup of $C(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$C_{\pi}(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ \widehat{f} \in C(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Z}) : \text{there is } f \in C(X, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } \widehat{f} = f \circ \pi \right\}.$$

Lemma 4. *For every $\widehat{f} \in C(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $\widehat{f} \circ \widehat{T}^k \in C_{\pi}(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer such that for each x and y in $\widehat{X}$ whose first k coordinates coincide, we have $\widehat{f}(x) = \widehat{f}(y)$. Then for each $z \in X$ and $(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $(y_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in $\pi^{-1}(z)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f} \circ \widehat{T}^k(x) &= \widehat{f}(T^k(z), T^{k-1}(z), \dots, z, x_1, x_2, \dots) \\ &= \widehat{f}(T^k(z), T^{k-1}(z), \dots, z, y_1, y_2, \dots) \\ &= \widehat{f} \circ \widehat{T}^k(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3. The linear map from $C(X, T)$ to $C(\widehat{X}, \widehat{T})$ defined by $f \mapsto f \circ \pi$ induces an injective morphism ι of unital ordered groups with the property that for $a \in K^0(X, T)$ we have $\iota(a) \in K^0(\widehat{X}, \widehat{T})^+$ if and only if $a \in K^0(X, T)^+$, see [GW95, Proposition 3.1] and [GH55, §14]. So we just need to prove that this morphism is surjective. Let $\widehat{f} \in C(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ be given and let $k \geq 1$ be given by Lemma 4, so that $[\widehat{f} \circ \widehat{T}^k]$ is in the image of ι . Since

$$[\widehat{f} \circ \widehat{T}^k] = [\widehat{f} \circ \widehat{T}^k + (\widehat{f} - \widehat{f} \circ \widehat{T}^k)] = [\widehat{f}],$$

it follows that $[\widehat{f}]$ is also in the image of ι . □

2.8. Bratteli diagrams and Bratteli-Vershik systems. In this section we briefly recall the concepts of Bratteli diagram and Bratteli-Vershik system. For more details we refer to [DHS99] and [HPS92].

A *Bratteli diagram* is an infinite directed graph (V, E) , such that the vertex set V and the edge set E can be partitioned into finite sets

$$V = V_0 \sqcup V_1 \sqcup \dots \text{ and } E = E_1 \sqcup E_2 \sqcup \dots$$

with the following properties:

- $V_0 = \{v_0\}$ is a singleton.
- For every $j \geq 1$, each edge in E_j starts in a vertex in V_{j-1} and arrives to a vertex in V_j .
- Each vertex in V has at least one edge starting from it and each vertex different from v_0 has at least one edge arriving to it.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the n -th *transition* or *incidence matrix* of the Bratteli diagram $B = (V, E)$ is the $V_n \times V_{n+1}$ matrix defined by

$$M_n(v, v') = \text{number of edges from } v \in V_n \text{ to } v' \in V_{n+1}.$$

For a vertex $e \in E$ we denote by $s(e)$ the vertex where e starts and by $r(e)$ the vertex to which e arrives. A *path* in (V, E) is by definition a finite (resp. infinite) sequence $e_1 e_2 \dots e_j$ (resp. $e_1 e_2 \dots$) such that for each $\ell = 1, \dots, j-1$ (resp. $\ell = 1, \dots$) we have $r(e_\ell) = s(e_{\ell+1})$. Note that for each vertex v distinct from v_0 there is at least one path starting at v_0 and arriving to v .

An *ordered Bratteli diagram* $(V, E, \geq)$ is a Bratteli diagram (V, E) together with a partial order $\geq$ on E , so that two edges are comparable if and only if they arrive at the same vertex. For each $j \geq 1$ and $v \in V_j$ the partial order $\geq$ induces an order on the set of paths from v_0 to v as follows:

$$e_1 \dots e_j > f_1 \dots f_j$$

if and only if there exists $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ such that $e_{j_0} > f_{j_0}$ and such that for each $\ell \in \{j_0 + 1, \dots, j\}$ we have $e_\ell = f_\ell$.

We say that an edge e is *maximal* (resp. *minimal*) if it is maximal (resp. minimal) with respect to the order $\geq$ on the set of all edges in E arriving at $r(e)$.

Fix an ordered Bratteli diagram $B := (V, E, \geq)$. We denote by X_B the set of all infinite paths in B starting at v_0 . For a finite path $e_1 \dots e_j$ starting at v_0 we denote by $U(e_1 \dots e_j)$ the subset of X_B of all infinite paths $e'_1 e'_2 \dots$ such that for all $\ell \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ we have $e'_\ell = e_\ell$. We endow X_B with the topology generated by the sets $U(e_1 \dots e_j)$. Then each of this sets is clopen, so X_B becomes a compact Hausdorff space with a countable basis of clopen sets.

We denote by $X_B^{\max}$ (resp. $X_B^{\min}$) the set of all elements $(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ of X_B so that for each $j \geq 1$ the edge e_j is a maximal (resp. minimal). It is easy to see that each of these sets is non-empty.

From now on we assume that the set $X_B^{\min}$ is reduced to a unique point, that we denote by $x_{\min}$. We then define the transformation $T_B : X_B \rightarrow X_B$ as follows:

- $T_B^{-1}(x_{\min}) = X_{\max}$.
- Given $x \in X_B \setminus X_{\max}$, let $j \geq 1$ be the smallest integer such that e_j is not maximal. Then we denote by f_j the successor of e_j and by $f_1 \dots f_{j-1}$ the unique minimal path starting at v_0 and arriving to $s(f_j)$. Then we put,

$$T_B(x) = f_1 \dots f_{j-1} f_j e_{k+1} e_{k+2} \dots$$

The map T_B is continuous, onto and invertible except at $x_{\min}$.

Proposition 5. *Let $B = (V, E, \geq)$ be a simple ordered Bratteli diagram such that X_B has only one minimal path and let $(M_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be the sequence of transition matrices of B . Then the unital ordered group $G(X_B, T_B)$ is isomorphic to the ordered group*

$$\varinjlim ((\mathbb{Z}^{V_n}, (\mathbb{Z}^{V_n})^+), M_n^T)_{n=0}^\infty,$$

together with the unit $[1, 0]$.

Proof. The proof is the same than for the case B properly ordered, see for example [Dur10, §6.6]. $\square$

3. A MULTIDIMENSIONAL EUCLIDEAN ALGORITHM AND FINITELY GENERATED SUBGROUPS OF $\mathbb{R}$

In this section we first define a multidimensional Euclidean algorithm in §3.1, which is similar to the (homogeneous) Jacobi-Perron algorithm, see for example [Ber71, Sch73]. In §3.2 we show how this algorithm gives a canonical representation of a given finitely generated additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ as a direct limit of certain non-negative matrices we call “admissible”.

3.1. A Jacobi-Perron type algorithm. The input of the algorithm is an integer $d \geq 2$ and a strictly positive and non-increasing vector $\vec{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The output is an integer $d' \geq 1$ satisfying $d' \leq d$, a non-increasing vector $\vec{x}'$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$ such that $x'_1 = x_d$, $x'_1 > x'_2$ and $x'_{d'} > 0$, together with a non-increasing vector $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ satisfying $a_d = 1$. The output is uniquely determined by the existence of an injective function

$$\sigma : \{1, \dots, d'\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d\}$$

such that $\sigma(1) = d$ and such that, if we define the $d \times d'$ matrix A by

$$(3.1) \quad A(\cdot, j) = \begin{cases} \vec{a} & \text{if } j = 1; \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\sigma(j)} \vec{e}_k & \text{if } j \in \{2, \dots, d'\}; \end{cases}$$

then $\vec{x} = A\vec{x}'$, see Lemma 7 below. In general the function σ is not uniquely determined by the input data.

To define the algorithm, we define as an intermediate step vectors $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{N}^d$, as follows. Put $y_d := x_d$, $b_d := 1$ and for $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ put

$$y_j := x_d \left\{ \frac{x_j - x_{j+1}}{x_d} \right\} \text{ and } b_j := \left\lceil \frac{x_j - x_{j+1}}{x_d} \right\rceil.$$

Note that $y_j \in [0, x_d)$ and $x_j - x_{j+1} = y_j + b_j x_d$.

The vector $\vec{a}$ is defined for $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ by

$$a_j = \sum_{k=j}^d b_k$$

and by $a_d = 1$. By definition we have

$$(3.2) \quad \vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & a_{d-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y}.$$

Then $d' := \#\{j \in \{1, \dots, d\} : y_j > 0\}$ and we consider an injective function

$$\sigma : \{1, \dots, d'\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d\}$$

so that for each $j \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ we have $y_{\sigma(j)} > 0$ and so that the number $y_{\sigma(j)}$ is non-decreasing with j . Then the vector

$$\vec{x}' := (y_{\sigma(j)})_{j=1}^{d'}$$

is independent of the choice of σ . By definition $1 \leq d' \leq d$ and the vector $\vec{x}'$ satisfies $x'_1 = x_d$, $x'_1 > x'_2$ and $x_{d'} > 0$. Furthermore, $\sigma(1) = d$ and if A is the matrix defined by (3.2), then $\vec{x} = A\vec{x}'$.

In the following simple lemma we capture one of the properties of the algorithm that will be very important for the representation of countable additive subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 6. *Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\vec{x}$ be a strictly positive and non-increasing vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $d' \geq 1$ and $\vec{x}'$ be the corresponding integer and the corresponding vector given by algorithm defined in §3.1. Then the additive subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the coordinates of $\vec{x}$ and by those of $\vec{x}'$ coincide.*

Proof. Since $\vec{x}$ and the vector $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ determined by (3.2) are related by a unimodular matrix, the additive subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the coordinates of $\vec{x}$ and by those of $\vec{y}$ coincide. Thus the desired assertion follows from the fact that the vectors $\vec{y}$ and $\vec{x}'$ have the same non-zero coordinates. $\square$

Given integers $d \geq 2$ and $d' \geq 1$ satisfying $d' \leq d$, a non-increasing vector $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and an injective function $\sigma : \{1, \dots, d'\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $\sigma(1) = d$, we denote by $A(\vec{a}, \sigma)$ the $d' \times d$ matrix A defined by (3.1). We call such a matrix *admissible*. Note that a square admissible matrix is unimodular.

Lemma 7. *Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\vec{x}$ be a strictly positive and non-increasing vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then there is a unique integer $d' \geq 1$, a unique non-increasing vector $\vec{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ and a unique non-increasing vector $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that*

$$d' \leq d, x'_1 = x_d, x'_1 > x'_2, x_{d'} > 0, a_d = 1$$

and such that there is an injective function $\sigma : \{1, \dots, d'\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $\sigma(1) = d$ and

$$\vec{x} = A(\vec{a}, \sigma)\vec{x}'.$$

If furthermore the coordinates of $\vec{x}$ are rationally independent, then $\vec{x}$ is strictly decreasing, $d' = d$, the coordinates of $\vec{x}'$ are rationally independent and σ is uniquely determined by $\vec{x}$.

Proof. The existence of d' , $\vec{x}'$ and $\vec{a}$ is given by the above.

To prove uniqueness, let d' , $\vec{x}'$, $\vec{a}$ and σ be as in the statement of the lemma and let $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the vector defined for $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ by

$$y_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \notin \sigma(\{1, \dots, d'\}); \\ x'_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We clearly have (3.2). Thus $\vec{y}$, and hence d' and $\vec{x}'$, are uniquely determined by d , $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{a}$. It remains to show that $\vec{a}$ is uniquely determined by d and $\vec{x}$. To see this, observe that by (3.2) for each $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ we have

$$x_j - x_{j+1} = y_j + y_d(a_j - a_{j+1}).$$

Since $y_d = x'_1 > x'_2 \geq y_j \geq 0$ and $a_j - a_{j+1}$ is an integer, it follows that $a_j - a_{j+1}$ is uniquely determined by $x_j - x_{j+1}$. Since this holds for each $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and by definition $a_d = 1$, it follows that $\vec{a}$ is uniquely determined by d and $\vec{x}$.

Suppose that the coordinates of $\vec{x}$ are rationally independent and let Γ be the additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}$. Then the coordinates of $\vec{x}$ form a base of Γ and the rank of Γ is equal to d . By Lemma 6 the coordinates of $\vec{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ generate Γ . Since $d' \leq d$, we conclude that $d' = d$ and that the coordinates of $\vec{x}'$ form a base of Γ and are thus rationally independent. In particular, the coordinates of $\vec{x}'$ are pairwise distinct. So σ is characterized as the unique permutation of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $j \mapsto y_{\sigma(j)}$ is decreasing with j . $\square$

3.2. Representing finitely generated additive subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and let Γ be a finitely generated additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ of rank d . Given a non-increasing vector $\vec{x}^{(0)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose coordinates are strictly positive and form a base Γ , we define for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following objects recursively:

- a strictly decreasing vector $\vec{x}^{(n)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose coordinates are strictly positive, rationally independent and form a base of Γ ;
- a non-increasing vector $\vec{a}^{(n)}$ in $\mathbb{N}^d$ such that $a_d^{(n)} = 1$;

- a permutation σ_n of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $\sigma_n(1) = d$ and

$$\vec{x}^{(n-1)} = A(\vec{a}^{(n)}, \sigma_n) \vec{x}^{(n)}.$$

Once $\vec{x}^{(n-1)}$ is defined, let d' , $\vec{x}'$, $\vec{a}$ and σ be given by the algorithm defined in §3.1 with $\vec{x} = \vec{x}^{(n-1)}$. Then Lemma 7 implies that $d' = d$ and, together with Lemma 6, that $\vec{x}^{(n)} := \vec{x}'$, $\vec{a}^{(n)} := \vec{a}$ and $\sigma_n := \sigma$ have the properties above. Moreover, the vectors $\vec{x}^{(n)}$ and $\vec{a}^{(n)}$ and the permutation σ_n are all uniquely determined by $\vec{x}^{(n-1)}$ and hence by $\vec{x}^{(0)}$.

Proposition 8. *Let Γ be a finitely generated additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ containing 1 and of rank $d \geq 2$ and let $\vec{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a non-increasing vector whose coordinates are strictly positive and form a base of Γ . Furthermore, let $(\vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$, $(\vec{a}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(\sigma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be given by the iteration of the algorithm defined in §3.1, as above, and consider the ordered group*

$$(G, G^+) := \varinjlim \left(\left(\mathbb{Z}^d, (\mathbb{Z}^d)^+ \right), A \left(\vec{a}^{(n)}, \sigma_n \right)^T \right)_{n=1}^\infty.$$

Then there is a function $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $[\vec{v}, n] \in G$ we have $\phi([\vec{v}, n]) = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{x}^{(n)} \rangle$ and such that it takes values in Γ and is an isomorphism between (G, G^+) and $(\Gamma, \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+)$.

To prove this proposition for each integer $d \geq 2$ we denote by $\text{Int}(\mathbb{R}^+)^d$ the interior of $(\mathbb{R}^+)^d$, which is the cone of strictly positive vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We define the *Hilbert projective metric* Θ_d on $\text{Int}(\mathbb{R}^+)^d$ by

$$\Theta_d(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') := \ln \left(\frac{\inf\{\mu > 0 : \mu \vec{x} \geq \vec{x}'\}}{\sup\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda \vec{x} \leq \vec{x}'\}} \right).$$

Note that $\Theta_d(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = 0$ if and only if $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{x}'$ are proportional. On the other hand, for an integer $d' \geq 2$ and a strictly positive $d' \times d$ matrix A we have

$$A((\mathbb{R}^+)^{d'} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}) \subset \text{Int}(\mathbb{R}^+)^d$$

and

$$D(A) := \sup \left\{ \Theta_d(A\vec{y}, A\vec{y}') : \vec{y}, \vec{y}' \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{d'} \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \right\} < \infty.$$

Then for every $\vec{y}, \vec{y}' \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{d'} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ we have

$$\Theta_d(A\vec{y}, A\vec{y}') \leq \tanh(D(A)/4) \cdot \Theta_{d'}(\vec{y}, \vec{y}'),$$

see for example the proof of [Bir57, Lemma 1 in §4] or [Liv95, Theorem 1.1]. Thus, if in addition $d'' \geq 2$ is an integer and A' is a strictly positive $d'' \times d'$ matrix, then

$$(3.3) \quad D(AA') \leq \tanh(D(A)/4) \cdot D(A').$$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of the previous considerations.

Lemma 9. *Let $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of integers in $\mathbb{N}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let A_n be a strictly positive $d_n \times d_{n+1}$ matrix. If there is $D_0 > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $D(A_n) \leq D_0$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\vec{x}^{(n)} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_n}$ such that*

$$\varprojlim ((\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_n}, A_n)_{n=1}^\infty = \left\{ (\lambda \vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty : \lambda \geq 0 \right\}.$$

The following lemma is needed for the proof of Proposition 8.

Lemma 10. *Given an integer $d \geq 2$, for every pair of $d \times d$ admissible matrices A and A' the matrix AA' is strictly positive and*

$$D(AA') \leq 2 \ln d.$$

Proof. For each $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ we have $A(\cdot, j) \leq A(\cdot, 1)$ and therefore

$$A(\cdot, 1) \leq AA'(\cdot, j) \leq dA(\cdot, 1).$$

This implies in particular that the matrix AA' is strictly positive. Moreover, if $a' := \langle A'(\cdot, 1), \vec{e}_1 \rangle$ is the first coordinate of $A'(\cdot, 1)$, then

$$a' A(\cdot, 1) \leq AA'(\cdot, 1) \leq da' A(\cdot, 1).$$

So for $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^d \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ we have

$$(a' v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_d) A(\cdot, 1) \leq AA' \vec{v} \leq d(a' v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_d) A(\cdot, 1).$$

This implies $\Theta_d(AA' \vec{v}, A(\cdot, 1)) \leq \ln d$ and using the triangular inequality we get $D(AA') \leq 2 \ln d$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 8. Let $(\vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$ be the sequence defined from $\vec{x}^{(0)}$, as in the beginning of this section. It is by definition an element of

$$\varprojlim \left((\mathbb{R}^+)^d, A \left(\vec{a}^{(n)}, \sigma_n \right) \right)_{n=1}^\infty.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 9 and Lemma 10 imply that this inverse limit is equal to $\{(\lambda \vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty : \lambda \geq 0\}$. So the hypotheses of Lemma 2 are satisfied with

$$(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty = (d)_{n=1}^\infty \text{ and } (L_n)_{n=1}^\infty = \left(A \left(\vec{a}^{(n)}, \sigma_n \right)^T \right)_{n=1}^\infty.$$

Let ϕ be function given by this lemma, which is the unique state of (G, G^+) . Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the coordinates of $\vec{x}^{(n)}$ are rationally independent, it follows that ϕ is injective and hence that the infinitesimal group of (G, G^+) is trivial. Since furthermore for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the coordinates of $\vec{x}^{(n)}$ form a base of Γ , by Lemma 2 it follows that (G, G^+) is isomorphic to $(\Gamma, \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+)$. $\square$

4. DIMENSION GROUPS WITH A UNIQUE STATE

We start this section by introducing a class of matrices we call “basic”, that appear naturally as transition matrices of the Bratteli-Vershik system associated to the generalized odometer associated to a unimodal map, see §5.1. The rest of the section is devoted to represent a given countable additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ containing 1, that is not contained in $\mathbb{Q}$, as a direct limit of basic matrices (Proposition 11).

Let V be a non-empty finite subset of $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let v be its minimal element and suppose that $v+1 \in V$. Let V' be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ containing $V \setminus \{v\}$ and whose minimal element is $v+1$. Then we denote by $B(V, V')$ the $V \times V'$ matrix defined for $j \in V'$ by

$$B(V, V')(\cdot, j) = \begin{cases} \vec{e}_v + \vec{e}_{v+1} & \text{if } j = v+1; \\ \vec{e}_j & \text{if } j \in V \setminus \{v, v+1\}; \\ \vec{e}_v & \text{if } j \in V' \setminus V. \end{cases}$$

The purpose of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 11. *Let Γ be a countable additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ containing 1, but not contained in $\mathbb{Q}$. Then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite subset V_j of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $V_1 = \{1, 2\}$ and such that the following properties hold:*

1. *there is a strictly increasing sequence of integers $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $q_1 = 1$, $q_2 = 3$ and such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in [q_n, q_{n+1} - 1]$ we have*

$$V_{j+1} \setminus V_j \subset [q_{n+1}, q_{n+2} - 1];$$

2. *for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the basic matrix $B(V_j, V_{j+1})$ is defined and the ordered group*

$$(G, G^+) := \varinjlim ((\mathbb{Z}^{V_j}, (\mathbb{Z}^{V_j})^+), B(V_j, V_{j+1})^T)_{j=1}^\infty$$

together with the order unit $[(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}), 1]$ is isomorphic to $(\Gamma, \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+, 1)$.

Roughly speaking, the idea of the proof of this proposition is to use recursively the algorithm defined in §3.1 as in Proposition 8, to obtain a sequence of bases of finitely generated subgroups of Γ whose union is equal to Γ . The inductive step is given by Lemma 12 and in Lemma 13 we prove that each of the matrices used in this process can be written as a product of basic matrices.

Given integers $d \geq 2$ and $d' \geq 1$ we say that a $d \times d'$ matrix M is *strictly decreasing* if each of its columns is and if for each $j \in \{1, \dots, d'-1\}$ the vector $M(\cdot, j) - M(\cdot, j+1)$ is also strictly decreasing. Furthermore, we will say that M is *strictly decreasing up to a permutation* if there is a permutation τ of $\{1, \dots, d'\}$ fixing 1 and such that the matrix M' defined for $j \in \{1, \dots, d'\}$ by $M'(\cdot, j) = M(\cdot, \tau(j))$ is strictly decreasing.

Lemma 12. *Let Γ' be a finitely generated additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ containing 1 and of rank $d' \geq 2$. Given a non-increasing vector $\vec{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ whose coordinates are strictly positive and form a base of Γ' , let $(\vec{x}^{(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$ be given*

by the iteration of the algorithm defined in §3.1, as in §3.2 with $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ and $d' = d$. Then the following properties hold.

1. Let y be a strictly positive element of Γ' and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\vec{v}^{(n)}$ be the unique vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{d'}$ such that $\langle \vec{v}^{(n)}, \vec{x}^{(n)} \rangle = y$. Then for every sufficiently large n the vector $\vec{v}^{(n)}$ has strictly positive coordinates, the first being the largest.
2. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\vec{y}$ be a strictly decreasing vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ having all of its coordinates strictly positive and in Γ' . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let M_n be the unique integer $d \times d'$ matrix such that $\vec{y} = M_n \vec{x}^{(n)}$. Then for every sufficiently large integer n the matrix M_n is strictly positive and strictly decreasing up to a permutation and satisfies $M_n(1, 1) \geq 5$ and $D(M_n) \leq 1$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\vec{a}^{(n)}$ and σ_n be given by the iteration of the algorithm defined in §3.1, as in §3.2 with $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ and $d = d'$.

1. Let $y \in \Gamma'$ be such that $y > 0$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\vec{v}^{(n)}$ be such that $\langle \vec{v}^{(n)}, \vec{x}^{(n)} \rangle = y$. Let ϕ be given by Proposition 8, so that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\phi([\vec{v}^{(n)}, n]) = y$. Since $y > 0$, for every sufficiently large m we have $\vec{v}^{(m)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{d'})^+$. It follows that for such m the first coordinate of $\vec{v}^{(m+1)} = A(\vec{a}^{(m)}, \sigma_m)^T \vec{v}^{(m)}$ is strictly positive and that $\vec{v}^{(m+2)} = A(\vec{a}^{(m+1)}, \sigma_{m+1})^T \vec{v}^{(m+1)}$ has all of its coordinates strictly positive, the first being the largest. This proves the desired assertion.

2. By Part 1 for every sufficiently large m and each $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the unique vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$ such that $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{x}^{(m)} \rangle = y_j$ is strictly positive. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the same holds for $y_{j+1} - y_j > 0$. Thus there is $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every integer $m \geq m_0$ the matrix M_m is strictly positive and each of its columns is strictly decreasing. We will prove now that for $m \geq m_0 + 1$ the matrix

$$M_m = M_{m-1} A(\vec{a}^{(m)}, \sigma_m)$$

is in addition strictly decreasing up to a permutation. Let τ be the permutation of $\{1, \dots, d'\}$ defined by $\tau(j) = \sigma_m^{-1}(d' + 1 - j)$, so that τ fixes 1. Since each of the columns of M_{m-1} is strictly decreasing, the vector

$$M_m(\cdot, \tau(1)) - M_m(\cdot, \tau(2)) = a_{d'}^{(m)} M_{m-1}(\cdot, d') + \sum_{j=1}^{d'-1} (a_j^{(m)} - 1) M_{m-1}(\cdot, j)$$

and, if $d' \geq 3$, for each $j \in \{2, \dots, d' - 1\}$ the vector

$$M_m(\cdot, \tau(j)) - M_m(\cdot, \tau(j+1)) = M_{m-1}(\cdot, d' + 1 - j)$$

is strictly decreasing. This proves that the matrix M_m is strictly decreasing up to a permutation. It remains to show that for large m we have $M_m(1, 1) \geq 5$ and $D(M_m) \leq 1$. Let $m \geq m_0 + 1$ be given. Since M_m is integer, strictly positive and strictly decreasing up to a permutation, we have $M_m(1, 1) \geq$

$d \cdot d' \geq 4$. Thus

$$M_{m+1}(1, 1) = \sum_{j=1}^{d'} \tilde{a}_j^{(m+1)} M_m(1, j) \geq M_m(1, 1) + M_m(1, d') \geq 5.$$

On the other hand, applying repeatedly Lemma 10 and (3.3) we have for each integer $\ell \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(M_{m+2\ell+1}) &= D \left(M_m A \left(\vec{a}^{(m+1)}, \sigma_{m+1} \right) \cdots A \left(\vec{a}^{(m+2\ell+1)}, \sigma_{m+2\ell+1} \right) \right) \\ &\leq (\tanh(\ln d'/2))^\ell (2 \ln d'). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired assertion and completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Let $V, V', \tilde{V}, \tilde{V}' \subset \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be finite sets such that $\#V = \#\tilde{V}$ and $\#V' = \#\tilde{V}'$ and let $\tau : V \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ and $\tau' : V' \rightarrow \tilde{V}'$ be increasing bijections. Then we say that a $V \times V'$ matrix M and a $\tilde{V} \times \tilde{V}'$ matrix $\tilde{M}$ are *equal up to increasing re-indexing* if for each $(j, j') \in V \times V'$ we have $\tilde{M}(j, j') = M(\tau(j), \tau(j'))$.

Lemma 13. *Let $d, d' \geq 2$ be integers and let M be an integer and strictly positive $d \times d'$ matrix which is strictly decreasing up to a permutation. Suppose furthermore that $M(1, 1) \geq 5$, so that*

$$k := d - 3 + M(1, 1) \geq d + 2.$$

Then there are finite subsets $W_0, \dots, W_k$ of $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $W_0 = [0, d - 1]$ and $W_k = [k, k + d' - 1]$, such that the following properties hold.

1. *For $j \in [0, d - 1]$ we have $W_{j+1} \setminus W_j \subset [d, k - 1]$ and for $j \in [d, k - 1]$ we have $W_{j+1} \setminus W_j \subset [k, k + d' - 1]$.*
2. *For each $j \in [0, k - 1]$ the basic matrix $B(W_j, W_{j+1})$ is defined and the product*

$$B(W_0, W_1) \cdots B(W_{k-1}, W_k)$$

is equal to M up to increasing re-indexing.

Recall that for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq m + 1$ we use $[n, m]$ to denote the empty set.

Proof. Let τ be the permutation of $\{1, \dots, d'\}$ fixing 1 and such that the $d \times d'$ matrix M' defined for $t \in [1, d']$ by

$$M'(\cdot, t) = M(\cdot, \tau(t)),$$

is strictly decreasing.

It will be convenient to index the column vectors of M and of M' on $[0, d - 1]$ instead of $[1, d]$. So we define the $[0, d - 1] \times [1, d']$ matrices $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M}'$, for $(s, t) \in [0, d - 1] \times [1, d']$ by

$$\tilde{M}(s, t) = M(s + 1, t) \text{ and } \tilde{M}'(s, t) = M'(s + 1, t).$$

After some preliminary definitions in Part 1, we define the sets W_j in Part 2 and then prove the desired properties in Part 3.

1. The vector

$$\vec{a}^{(1)} := \widetilde{M}'(\cdot, d') = \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \tau(d'))$$

and, if $d' \geq 3$, for each $t \in \{2, \dots, d' - 1\}$ the vector

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a}^{(t)} &:= \widetilde{M}'(\cdot, d' + 1 - t) - \widetilde{M}'(\cdot, d' + 2 - t) \\ &= \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \tau(d' + 1 - t)) - \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \tau(d' + 2 - t)) \end{aligned}$$

is strictly positive and strictly decreasing. In particular, for each $t \in \{1, \dots, d' - 2\}$ we have $a_0^{(t)} \geq d \geq 2$.

On the other hand, the vector

$$\widetilde{M}'(\cdot, 1) - \widetilde{M}'(\cdot, 2) = \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \tau(1)) - \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \tau(2))$$

is also strictly positive and strictly decreasing. So the vector,

$$\vec{a}^{(d')} := \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \tau(1)) - \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \tau(2)) - \left(2\vec{e}_0 + \sum_{s=1}^{d-1} \vec{e}_s \right)$$

is non-negative and non-increasing. Note that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{d'} a_0^{(t)} = \widetilde{M}(0, 1) - 2.$$

2. Put $W_0 := [0, d - 1]$ and for $j \in [1, d - 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_j &:= \left[j, d - 1 + a_0^{(1)} - a_j^{(1)} \right] \\ &\cup \bigcup_{t_0=2}^{d'} \left[d - 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{t_0-1} a_0^{(t)}, d - 2 + \sum_{t=1}^{t_0} a_0^{(t)} - a_j^{(t)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Put also

$$W_d := \left[d, d - 4 + \widetilde{M}(0, 1) \right] = [d, k - 1]$$

and if $a_0^{(1)} \geq 3$, then for $j \in \left[d + 1, d - 2 + a_0^{(1)} \right]$ put

$$W_j := \left[j, d - 3 + \widetilde{M}(0, 1) \right] = [j, k].$$

For $t_0 \in [2, d']$ and $j \in \left[d - 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{t_0-1} a_0^{(t)}, d - 2 + \sum_{t=1}^{t_0} a_0^{(t)} \right]$ put

$$\begin{aligned} W_j &:= \left[j, d - 3 + \widetilde{M}(0, 1) \right] \cup \left(d - 4 + \widetilde{M}(0, 1) + \tau([d' + 2 - t_0, d']) \right) \\ &= [j, k] \cup (k - 1 + \tau([d' + 2 - t_0, d'])). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, put $W_k = [k, k + d' - 1]$ and note that $W_{k-1} = [k - 1, k + d' - 1]$.

3. It is straightforward to check Part 1 of the lemma. To prove Part 2, first observe that by definition for each $j \in [0, k]$ the minimal element of W_j is j . Furthermore, for $j \in [0, k - 1]$ we also have $j + 1 \in W_j$ and $W_j \setminus \{j\} \subset W_{j+1}$. This shows that the basic matrix $B(W_j, W_{j+1})$ is defined. To calculate the

product of these matrices we start observing that by a direct computation we have for each $j \in [1, d-1]$

$$\begin{aligned} B(W_0, W_1) \cdots B(W_{j-1}, W_j)(\cdot, \ell) \\ = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=0}^j \vec{e}_s & \text{if } \ell = j; \\ \vec{e}_\ell & \text{if } \ell \in [j+1, d-1]; \\ \sum_{s=0}^{s_0-1} \vec{e}_s & \text{if } \ell \in W_{s_0} \setminus W_{s_0-1} \text{ and } s_0 \in [1, j]; \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$(4.1) \quad B(W_0, W_1) \cdots B(W_{d-1}, W_d)(\cdot, \ell) = \begin{cases} 2\vec{e}_0 + \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} \vec{e}_s & \text{if } \ell = d; \\ \vec{e}_0 & \text{if } \ell \in (W_1 \setminus W_0) \setminus \{d\}; \\ \sum_{s=0}^{s_0-1} \vec{e}_s & \text{if } \ell \in W_{s_0} \setminus W_{s_0-1} \text{ and } s_0 \in [2, d]. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, if $a_0^{(1)} \geq 3$, then for $j \in [d+1, d-2+a_0^{(1)}]$ we have

$$B(W_d, W_{d+1}) \cdots B(W_{j-1}, W_j)(\cdot, \ell) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=d}^j \vec{e}_s & \text{if } \ell = j; \\ \vec{e}_\ell & \text{if } \ell \in [j+1, k-1]; \\ \vec{e}_d & \text{if } \ell = k. \end{cases}$$

In all cases, for $t_0 \in [2, d']$ and $j \in [d-1 + \sum_{t=1}^{t_0-1} a_0^{(t)}, d-2 + \sum_{t=1}^{t_0} a_0^{(t)}]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(W_d, W_{d+1}) \cdots B(W_{j-1}, W_j)(\cdot, \ell) \\ = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=d}^j \vec{e}_s & \text{if } \ell = j; \\ \vec{e}_\ell & \text{if } \ell \in [j+1, k-1]; \\ \vec{e}_d & \text{if } \ell = k; \\ \sum_{s=d}^{d-2+\sum_{t'=1}^{t-1} a_0^{(t')}} \vec{e}_s & \text{if } t \in [2, t_0] \text{ and } \ell = k-1 + \tau(d' + 2 - t). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with $t_0 = d'$ and $j = d-2 + \sum_{t=1}^{d'} a_0^{(t)} = k-1$ and (4.1) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} B(W_0, W_1) \cdots B(W_{k-2}, W_{k-1})(\cdot, \ell) \\ = \begin{cases} \sum_{t=1}^{d'} \vec{a}^{(t)} & \text{if } \ell = k-1; \\ 2\vec{e}_0 + \sum_{s=1}^{d-1} \vec{e}_s & \text{if } \ell = k; \\ \sum_{t=1}^{t_0-1} \vec{a}^{(t)} & \text{if } t_0 \in [2, d'] \text{ and } \ell = k-1 + \tau(d' + 2 - t_0). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{d'} \vec{a}^{(t)} = \widetilde{M}(\cdot, 1) - \left(2\vec{e}_0 + \sum_{s=1}^{d-1} \vec{e}_s \right)$$

and that for $t_0 \in [2, d']$ and $\ell = k - 1 + \tau(d' + 2 - t_0)$ we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{t_0-1} \vec{a}^{(t)} = \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \tau(d' + 2 - t_0)) = \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \ell - (k - 1)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B(W_0, W_1) \cdots B(W_{k-2}, W_{k-1})(\cdot, \ell) \\ = \begin{cases} \widetilde{M}(\cdot, 1) - \left(2\vec{e}_0 + \sum_{s=1}^{d-1} \vec{e}_s\right) & \text{if } \ell = k - 1; \\ 2\vec{e}_0 + \sum_{s=1}^{d-1} \vec{e}_s & \text{if } \ell = k; \\ \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \ell - (k - 1)) & \text{if } \ell \in [k + 1, k + d' - 1]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation then shows that for each $\ell \in W_k = [k, k + d' - 1]$ we have

$$B(W_0, W_1) \cdots B(W_{k-1}, W_k)(\cdot, \ell) = \widetilde{M}(\cdot, \ell - (k - 1)).$$

This proves that the matrix $B(W_0, W_1) \cdots B(W_{k-1}, W_k)$ is equal to $\widetilde{M}$, and hence to M , up to an increasing re-indexing. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 11. Let $\alpha \in \Gamma$ be an irrational number in the interval $(0, 1/2)$ and put $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\alpha_1 := \alpha$. For an integer $\ell \geq 2$ define inductively $\alpha_\ell \in \Gamma$ in such a way that the set $\{\alpha_\ell : \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ generates Γ . For $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ let Γ_ℓ be the additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ and let $d_\ell \geq 1$ be the rank of Γ_ℓ . So $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = 2$ and the sequence $(d_\ell)_{\ell=0}^\infty$ is non-decreasing.

1. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ define recursively a strictly decreasing vector $\vec{y}^{(\ell)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d_\ell}$ whose coordinates are strictly positive and form a base of Γ_ℓ and an integer and strictly positive $d_\ell \times d_{\ell+1}$ matrix M_ℓ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \vec{y}^{(\ell)} = M_\ell \vec{y}^{(\ell+1)} \text{ and } D(M_\ell) \leq 1,$$

as follows. Put $\vec{y}^{(0)} = (1)$, $\vec{y}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and assume $\vec{y}^{(\ell)}$ is already defined. Let $\vec{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\ell+1}}$ be a strictly decreasing vector whose coordinates are strictly positive and form a base of $\Gamma_{\ell+1}$. Then by Part 2 of Lemma 12 with

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma_{\ell+1}, d' = d_{\ell+1}, d = d_\ell \text{ and } \vec{y} = \vec{y}^{(\ell)}$$

there is an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an integer and strictly positive $d_\ell \times d_{\ell+1}$ matrix $M_{\ell+1}$ which is strictly decreasing up to a permutation and satisfies $\vec{y}^{(\ell)} = M_\ell \vec{x}^{(n)}$ and $D(M_\ell) \leq 1$. Setting $\vec{y}^{(\ell+1)} := \vec{x}^{(n)}$ we have (4.2). On the other hand, the coordinates of $\vec{y}^{(\ell+1)}$ are strictly positive and form a base of $\Gamma_{\ell+1}$.

By definition $(\vec{y}^{(\ell)})_{\ell=0}^\infty$ belongs to the inverse limit

$$\varprojlim \left((\mathbb{R}^+)^{d_\ell}, M_\ell \right)_{\ell=0}^\infty,$$

which by Lemma 9 it is equal to $\{(\lambda \vec{y}^{(\ell)})_{\ell=0}^{\infty} : \lambda \geq 0\}$. Then Lemma 2 implies that the ordered group

$$(G, G^+) := \varinjlim \left((\mathbb{Z}^{d_\ell}, (\mathbb{Z}^{d_\ell})^+), M_\ell^T \right)_{\ell=0}^{\infty}$$

has a unique state ϕ up to a scalar factor, such that for each $[\vec{v}, \ell] \in G$ we have $\phi([\vec{v}, \ell]) = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{y}^{(\ell)} \rangle$. Furthermore, ϕ induces an isomorphism between $(G/\inf(G), (G/\inf(G))^+)$ and $(\phi(G), \phi(G) \cap \mathbb{R}^+)$. On the other hand, since for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ the coordinates of $\vec{y}^{(\ell)}$ form a base of Γ_ℓ , they are rationally independent. Thus $\phi(G) = \Gamma$ and ϕ is injective. Hence the subgroup $\inf(G)$ of G is trivial and ϕ is an isomorphism of unital ordered groups between $(G, G^+, [1, 0])$ and $(\Gamma, \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+, 1)$.

2. By construction for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $d_\ell, d_{\ell+1} \geq 2$ and the matrix M_ℓ is integer, strictly positive and strictly decreasing up to a permutation. Furthermore, it satisfies $M_\ell(1, 1) \geq 5$. By Lemma 13 we can define by induction a sequence of finite subsets $(V_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ with $V_1 = \{1, 2\}$ and a strictly increasing sequence of integers $(t_\ell)_{\ell=0}^{\infty}$ with $t_1 = 1$ such that the following properties hold.

1. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $t_{\ell+1} - t_\ell \geq d_\ell + 1$ and $V_{t_\ell} = [t_\ell, t_\ell + d_\ell - 1]$. Furthermore, for each $j \in [t_\ell, t_\ell + d_\ell - 1]$ we have $V_{j+1} \setminus V_j \subset [t_\ell + d_\ell, t_{\ell+1} - 1]$ and for each $j \in [t_\ell + d_\ell, t_{\ell+1} - 1]$ we have $V_{j+1} \setminus V_j \subset [t_{\ell+1}, t_{\ell+1} + d_{\ell+1} - 1]$.
2. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the basic matrix $B(V_j, V_{j+1})$ is defined and for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ the product

$$B(V_{t_\ell}, V_{t_{\ell+1}}) \cdots B(V_{t_{\ell+1}-1}, V_{t_{\ell+1}})$$

is equal to M_ℓ up to increasing re-indexing.

Part 2 of the proposition follows from property 2 above and by what was proved in Part 1 of the proof. Part 1 of the proposition follows easily from property 1 above, for the sequence $(q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ by $q_{2\ell-1} = t_\ell$ and $q_{2\ell} = t_\ell + d_\ell$. $\square$

5. GENERALIZED ODOMETERS AND POSTCRITICAL SETS

After recalling the definition of generalized odometers and Bratteli-Vershik systems associated to unimodal maps, we prove in §5.1 that each of the dimension groups constructed in §4 can be realized as the unital ordered group of a generalized odometer associated to a unimodal map (Theorem 15). Theorem A is an easy consequence of this fact. In §5.2 we show that the generalized odometer constructed in Theorem 15 is an extension of the post-critical set of a logistic map, in such a way that the inverse of the corresponding factor map is defined on the complement of the backward orbit of the critical point (Proposition 17). We end this section with the proofs of Theorem A and Theorem B.

5.1. Generalized odometers. Let $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be a map such that $Q(0) = 0$ and such that for each integer $k \geq 1$ we have $Q(k) \leq k - 1$. Let $(S_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be the strictly increasing sequence of integers defined recursively by $S_0 = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$ by $S_k = S_{k-1} + S_{Q(k)}$.

Let Ω_Q be the set defined as

$$\Omega_Q := \{(x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} : x_k = 1 \text{ implies that for } j \in [Q(k+1), k-1] \text{ we have } x_j = 0\}.$$

For each non-negative integer n there is a unique sequence $\langle n \rangle := (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ in Ω_Q that has at most finitely many 1's and $\sum_{k \geq 0} x_k S_k = n$. The sequence $\langle n \rangle$ is also characterized as the unique sequence in $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ with finitely many 1's such that $\sum_{k \geq 0} x_k S_k = n$ and such that, if $0 \leq k_0 < \dots < k_l$ are all the integers verifying $x_{k_0} = \dots = x_{k_l} = 1$, then $S_{k_l} \leq n \leq S_{k_{l+1}} - 1$ and for each $j \in [0, l-1]$ we have

$$S_{k_j} \leq n - \sum_{s=j+1}^l S_{k_s} \leq S_{k_{j+1}} - 1.$$

When $Q(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the map defined on the subset $\{\langle n \rangle : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of Ω_Q by $\langle n \rangle \mapsto \langle n+1 \rangle$ extends continuously to a map $T_Q : \Omega_Q \rightarrow \Omega_Q$ which is onto, minimal and such that T_Q^{-1} is well defined on $\Omega_Q \setminus \langle 0 \rangle$; see [BKSP97, Lemma 2]. We call (Ω_Q, T_Q) the *generalized odometer* associated to Q .

The following definition will be important in what follows.

Definition 14. We say that a map $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $Q(0) = 0$ is *increasing modulo intervals*, if there exist a strictly increasing sequence of integers $(q_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $q_0 = 0$, $q_1 = 1$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Q([q_n, q_{n+1} - 1]) \subset [q_{n-1}, q_n - 1].$$

If $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is increasing modulo intervals, then $Q(0) = 0$, for every integer $k \geq 1$ we have $Q(k) \leq k - 1$ and $Q(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So the generalized odometer (Ω_Q, T_Q) is defined for such Q .

Theorem 15. Let Γ be a countable additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ containing 1, but not contained in $\mathbb{Q}$. Then there is a map $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ which is increasing modulo intervals, such that $Q(0) = Q(1) = 0$, such that for every integer $k \geq 2$ we have $Q(k) \leq k - 2$ and such that the following property holds: the set Ω_Q is a Cantor set and the unital ordered group $G(\Omega_Q, T_Q)$ associated to (Ω_Q, T_Q) is isomorphic to $(\Gamma, \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+, 1)$.

To prove this theorem, let $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be a map such that $Q(0) = Q(1) = 0$, such that for each integer $k \geq 2$ we have $Q(k) \leq k - 2$ and such that $Q(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We define an ordered Bratteli diagram $B_Q := (V, E, \leq)$, that was introduced by Bruin in [Bru03, §4], as follows:

- $V_0 := \{0\}$, $V_1 := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : Q(k) = 0\}$ and for $j \geq 2$,
 $V_j := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq j, Q(k-1) \leq j-2\};$

- for an integer $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E_j := \{j-1 \rightarrow j\} \cup \{j-1 \rightarrow k : k \in V_j \setminus V_{j-1}\} \cup \{k \rightarrow j : k \in V_{j-1} \setminus \{j-1\}\}.$$

Note that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $j, j+1 \in V_j$ and each vertex in V_j different from j has at most one edge arriving at it. When $j \geq 2$ the only edges arriving to $j \in V_j$ are $\{j-1 \rightarrow j\}, \{j \rightarrow j\} \in E_j$. So to define the partial order $\geq$, we just have to define it, for each $j \geq 2$, between $\{j-1 \rightarrow j\} \in E_j$ and $\{j \rightarrow j\} \in E_j$: we put $\{j-1 \rightarrow j\} < \{j \rightarrow j\}$. The rest of the edges are maximal and minimal at the same time.

It is straight forward to check that the set X_{B_Q} is a Cantor set and that the infinite path $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots$ is the unique minimal path in B_Q . Therefore there is a well defined map $V_{B_Q} : X_{B_Q} \rightarrow X_{B_Q}$, see §2.8.

Theorem 16 ([Bru03], Proposition 2). *Let $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be a map such that $Q(0) = Q(1) = 0$, such that for each integer $k \geq 2$ we have $Q(k) \leq k-2$ and such that $Q(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the corresponding Bratteli-Vershik system (X_{B_Q}, V_{B_Q}) and generalized odometer (Ω_Q, T_Q) defined above. Then there is a homeomorphism between X_{B_Q} and Ω_Q that conjugates the action of V_{B_Q} on X_{B_Q} to the action of T_Q on Ω_Q .*

Proof of Theorem 15. Let $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(V_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ be given by Proposition 11 and put $q_0 = 0$ and $V_0 = \{0\}$. By Part 2 of Proposition 11 for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the least element of V_j is j . So for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is at least one and at most finitely many integers $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that V_j contains k . Put $Q(0) = 0$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Q(k)$ be the smallest integer $j \geq 0$ such that $k \in V_{j+1}$. Let us prove that the map $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ so defined is increasing modulo intervals. By definition

$$Q([q_1, q_2 - 1]) = Q([1, 2]) = \{0\} = [q_0, q_1 - 1].$$

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and $k \in [q_n, q_{n+1} - 1]$. By definition of $j := Q(k)$ we have $k \in V_{j+1} \setminus V_j$. Part 1 of Proposition 11 then implies that $V_{j+1} \setminus V_j \subset [q_n, q_{n+1} - 1]$ and that $Q(k) = j \in [q_{n-1}, q_n - 1]$. This completes the proof that Q is increasing modulo intervals. We thus have $Q(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that by Part 2 of Proposition 11 for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $V_j \setminus \{j\} \subset V_{j+1}$. So by definition of Q for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $Q^{-1}(j) = V_{j+1} \setminus V_j$. Since for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the minimal element of V_j is j and $j+1 \in V_j$, it follows that for every integer $k \geq 2$ we have $Q(k) \leq k-2$. Furthermore, $(V_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ coincides with the definition of the vertex set of the ordered Bratteli diagram B_Q defined above and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the j -th transition matrix of B_Q is precisely $B(V_j, V_{j+1})$. Moreover, the 0-th transition matrix is equal to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. In view of Theorem 16 and the remarks above, it follows that Ω_Q is a Cantor set and that the last desired assertion is a direct consequence of Proposition 5 and Proposition 11. $\square$

5.2. From the generalized odometer to the post-critical set of a logistic map. Fix $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ and let f_λ be the corresponding logistic map as defined in the introduction. We assume that λ is sufficiently close to 4 so

that $f_\lambda^2(1/2) < 1/2 < f_\lambda(1/2)$. Put $c_0 = 1/2$ and for each integer $n \geq 1$ put $c_n = f_\lambda^n(c_0)$. Define the sequence of compact intervals $(D_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ inductively by $D_1 = [c_0, c_1]$ and for $n \geq 2$, by

$$D_n = \begin{cases} f_\lambda(D_{n-1}) & \text{if } c_0 \notin D_{n-1}; \\ [c_n, c_1] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

An integer $n \geq 1$ is called a *cutting time* if $c_0 \in D_n$. We denote by $(S_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ the strictly increasing sequence of all cutting times. From our assumption that $f_\lambda^2(c_0) < c_0 < f_\lambda(c_0)$ it follows that $S_0 = 1$ and $S_1 = 2$.

It can be shown that if S and $S' \geq S+1$ are consecutive cutting times, then $S' - S$ is again a cutting time, which is less than or equal to S when f_λ has no periodic attractors, see for example [Bru95, Hof80]. That is, if f_λ has no periodic attractors then for each $k \geq 1$ there is a non-negative integer $Q(k)$ such that $Q(k) \leq k - 1$ and $S_k - S_{k-1} = S_{Q(k)}$. Putting $Q(0) = 0$, the function $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ so defined is called the *kneading map* of f_λ . Note that the sequence $(S_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is defined from Q in the same way as in the definition of the generalized odometer Ω_Q .

Given $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in \Omega_Q$ and an integer $n \geq 0$, put $\sigma(x|n) = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k S_k$. Observe that $\sigma(x|n)$ is non-decreasing with n and when x has infinitely many 1's, $\sigma(x|n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, if x has at most a finite number of 1's, then $\sigma(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(x|n)$ is finite and $x = \langle \sigma(x) \rangle$.

For $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ different from $\langle 0 \rangle$ we denote by $q(x) \geq 0$ the least integer such that $x_{q(x)} \neq 0$. In [BKSP97, Theorem 1] it is shown that for each $x \in \Omega_Q$ with infinitely many 1's the sequence of intervals $(D_{\sigma(x|n)})_{n=q(x)}^\infty$ is nested and that $\bigcap_{n \geq q(x)} D_{\sigma(x|n)}$ is reduced to a point belonging to the post-critical set X_λ of f_λ . Furthermore, if we denote this point by $\pi(x)$ and for an integer $n \geq 0$ we put $\pi(\langle n \rangle) = f_\lambda^n(c)$, then the map

$$\pi : \Omega_Q \rightarrow X_\lambda$$

so defined is continuous and conjugates the action of T_Q on Ω_Q , to the action of f_λ on X_λ .

Proposition 17. *Let $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be non-decreasing modulo intervals, such that $Q(0) = Q(1) = 0$ and such that for every integer $k \geq 2$ we have $Q(k) \leq k - 2$. Then there is $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ such that Q is the kneading map of f_λ and the inverse of the factor map $\pi : \Omega_Q \rightarrow X_\lambda$ is defined on the complement of*

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda := \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty f_\lambda^{-n}(1/2)$$

in X_λ . In particular π is injective on $\Omega_Q \setminus \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)$.

Proof. Since Q is non-increasing modulo intervals there is a strictly increasing sequence of integers $(q_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $q_0 = 0$, $q_1 = 1$ and such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $Q([q_n, q_{n+1} - 1]) \subset [q_{n-1}, q_n - 1]$.

In Part 1 we prove that there is $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ such that Q is the kneading map of f_λ and in Part 2 we prove that π^{-1} is well defined on $X_\lambda \setminus \mathcal{O}_\lambda$.

1. In view of [Bru95, Hof80], to prove that there is $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ such that Q is the kneading map of f_λ we just need to prove that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$Q(k + j_0) \geq Q(Q(Q(k)) + j_0) + 1$$

and such that for each $j \in \{1, \dots, j_0 - 1\}$

$$Q(k + j) = Q(Q(Q(k)) + j).$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Suppose first $k \in [1, q_3 - 1]$, so that $Q(k) \leq q_2 - 1$ and thus $Q(Q(k)) = 0$. Then for each $j \in [1, q_2 - 1]$ we have $Q(Q(Q(k)) + j) = Q(j) = 0$. Since $Q(k + j) \geq 0$ with strictly inequality when $j = q_2 - 1$, this shows the desired assertion for this value of k . Suppose now $k \geq q_3$ and let $n \geq 3$ be the integer such that $k \in [q_n, q_{n+1} - 1]$. Then $Q(k + 1) \geq q_{n-1}$. On the other hand, $Q(k) \leq q_n - 1$ so $Q(Q(k)) + 1 \leq q_{n-1} \leq q_n - 1$ and

$$Q(Q(Q(k)) + 1) \leq q_{n-1} - 1 \leq Q(k + 1) - 1.$$

This proves the desired assertion for this value of k , with $j_0 = 1$.

2. By [CRL10b, Lemma 11], to prove that π^{-1} is defined on $X_\lambda \setminus \mathcal{O}_\lambda$, it is enough to show that for each sufficiently large integer k we have

$$(5.1) \quad Q(k + 1) \geq Q(Q(Q(k)) + 1) + 2$$

and that for each constant $K > 0$ and for every pair of distinct points $x, x' \in \Omega_Q$ that are not in the grand orbit of $\langle 0 \rangle$, there is an integer m satisfying

$$\max\{q(T_Q^m(x)), q(T_Q^m(x'))\} \geq K \text{ and } Q(q(T_Q^m(x)) + 1) \neq Q(q(T_Q^m(x')) + 1).$$

The proof of this last assertion follows the same arguments of those given in [CRL10a, Lemma 17]. To finish the proof we will prove (5.1) for each integer $k \geq q_3$. Let $n \geq 3$ be the integer such that $k \in [q_n, q_{n+1} - 1]$. As in Part 1 we have $Q(k + 1) \geq q_{n-1}$ and $Q(Q(k)) \leq q_{n-1} - 1$. On the other hand, $Q(k) \geq q_{n-1}$, so $Q(Q(k)) + 1 \geq q_{n-2} + 1 \geq 2$ and

$$Q(Q(Q(k)) + 1) \leq (Q(Q(k)) + 1) - 2 = Q(Q(k)) - 1 \leq q_{n-1} - 2 \leq Q(k + 1) - 2.$$

This proves (5.1) for this value of k and completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Proof of Theorem A and of Theorem B. Let (X, T) be a uniquely ergodic minimal Cantor system. Then the unital ordered group $G(X, T)$ is a dimension group that has a unique state ϕ mapping the order unit of $G(X, T)$ to 1, see §2.6. So by Lemma 1 the additive subgroup $\Gamma := \phi(G(X, T))$ of $\mathbb{R}$ is countable and ϕ induces an isomorphism between the unital ordered group $G(X, T)/\inf(G(X, T))$ and $(\Gamma, \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+, 1)$. Note that by definition Γ contains 1. On the other hand Γ is acyclic because X is a Cantor set.

If Γ is not contained in $\mathbb{Q}$, then the desired assertions follow from Theorem 15, Proposition 17 and [GPS95, Theorem 2.2].

It remains to consider the case where Γ is contained in $\mathbb{Q}$. Since Γ is acyclic, there exists a sequence of integers greater than or equal to two $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that the set

$$\{1/(q_1 \cdots q_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

generates Γ . Define $Q : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ by $Q^{-1}(0) = [0, q_1 - 1]$ and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$Q^{-1}(q_1 + \cdots + q_i) = [q_1 + \cdots + q_i + 1, q_1 + \cdots + q_{i+1}].$$

It is straight forward to check that the generalized odometer (Ω_Q, T_Q) corresponds to the odometer defined by the sequence $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, see for example [CRL10b, Lemma 8]. Therefore its unital ordered group is isomorphic to $(\Gamma, \Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^+, 1)$, see for example [GJ00]. Since Q is non-decreasing, by [Bru95, Hof80] there is a parameter $\lambda \in (0, 4]$ such that the kneading map of f_λ is equal to Q . It is well known that the post-critical set of f_λ is conjugated to the odometer defined by the sequence $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. So in this case the desired assertions follow from [GPS95, Theorem 2.2]. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BDIL00] Guy Barat, Tomasz Downarowicz, Anzelm Iwanik, and Pierre Liardet. Propriétés topologiques et combinatoires des échelles de numération. *Colloq. Math.*, 84/85(part 2):285–306, 2000. Dedicated to the memory of Anzelm Iwanik.
- [BDL02] Guy Barat, Tomasz Downarowicz, and Pierre Liardet. Dynamiques associées à une échelle de numération. *Acta Arith.*, 103(1):41–78, 2002.
- [Ber71] Leon Bernstein. *The Jacobi-Perron algorithm—Its theory and application*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 207. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [Bir57] Garrett Birkhoff. Extensions of Jentzsch’s theorem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 85:219–227, 1957.
- [BKSP97] Henk Bruin, Gerhard Keller, and Matthias St. Pierre. Adding machines and wild attractors. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 17(6):1267–1287, 1997.
- [Bru95] H. Bruin. Combinatorics of the kneading map. In *Thirty years after Sharkovskii’s theorem: new perspectives (Murcia, 1994)*, volume 8 of *World Sci. Ser. Nonlinear Sci. Ser. B Spec. Theme Issues Proc.*, pages 77–87. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1995. Reprint of the paper reviewed in MR1361922 (96k:58070).
- [Bru03] H. Bruin. Minimal Cantor systems and unimodal maps. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 9(3-4):305–318, 2003. Dedicated to Professor Alexander N. Sharkovsky on the occasion of his 65th birthday.
- [CRL10a] María Isabel Cortez and Juan Rivera-Letelier. Choquet simplices as spaces of invariant probability measures on post-critical sets. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 27(1):95–115, 2010.
- [CRL10b] María Isabel Cortez and Juan Rivera-Letelier. Invariant measures of minimal post-critical sets of logistic maps. *Israel J. Math.*, 176:157–193, 2010.
- [DDM00] P. Dartnell, F. Durand, and A. Maass. Orbit equivalence and Kakutani equivalence with Sturmian subshifts. *Studia Math.*, 142(1):25–45, 2000.
- [DHS99] F. Durand, B. Host, and C. Skau. Substitutional dynamical systems, Bratteli diagrams and dimension groups. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 19(4):953–993, 1999.

- [Dow91] Tomasz Downarowicz. The Choquet simplex of invariant measures for minimal flows. *Israel J. Math.*, 74(2-3):241–256, 1991.
- [Dow05] Tomasz Downarowicz. Survey of odometers and Toeplitz flows. In *Algebraic and topological dynamics*, volume 385 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 7–37. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Dur10] Fabien Durand. *Combinatorics on Bratteli diagrams and dynamical systems, Combinatorics, Automata and Number Theory*, volume 135 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [Eff81] Edward G. Effros. *Dimensions and C^* -algebras*, volume 46 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, D.C., 1981.
- [GH55] Walter Helbig Gottschalk and Gustav Arnold Hedlund. *Topological dynamics*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 36. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1955.
- [GJ00] Richard Gjerde and Ørjan Johansen. Bratteli-Vershik models for Cantor minimal systems: applications to Toeplitz flows. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 20(6):1687–1710, 2000.
- [GLT95] Peter J. Grabner, Pierre Liardet, and Robert F. Tichy. Odometers and systems of numeration. *Acta Arith.*, 70(2):103–123, 1995.
- [GMPS08] Thierry Giordano, Hiroki Matui, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Orbit equivalence for Cantor minimal $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(3):863–892, 2008.
- [GMPS10] Thierry Giordano, Hiroki Matui, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Orbit equivalence for Cantor minimal $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -systems. *Invent. Math.*, 179(1):119–158, 2010.
- [Goo86] K. R. Goodearl. *Partially ordered abelian groups with interpolation*, volume 20 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [GPS95] Thierry Giordano, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Topological orbit equivalence and C^* -crossed products. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 469:51–111, 1995.
- [GW95] Eli Glasner and Benjamin Weiss. Weak orbit equivalence of Cantor minimal systems. *Internat. J. Math.*, 6(4):559–579, 1995.
- [Hof80] Franz Hofbauer. The topological entropy of the transformation $x \mapsto ax(1-x)$. *Monatsh. Math.*, 90(2):117–141, 1980.
- [HPS92] Richard H. Herman, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Ordered Bratteli diagrams, dimension groups and topological dynamics. *Internat. J. Math.*, 3(6):827–864, 1992.
- [Liv95] Carlangelo Liverani. Decay of correlations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 142(2):239–301, 1995.
- [PSS86] Ian Putnam, Klaus Schmidt, and Christian Skau. C^* -algebras associated with Denjoy homeomorphisms of the circle. *J. Operator Theory*, 16(1):99–126, 1986.
- [Put10] Ian F. Putnam. Orbit equivalence of Cantor minimal systems: a survey and a new proof. *Expo. Math.*, 28(2):101–131, 2010.
- [Rie81] Norbert Riedel. Classification of dimension groups and iterating systems. *Math. Scand.*, 48(2):226–234, 1981.
- [Sch73] Fritz Schweiger. *The metrical theory of Jacobi-Perron algorithm*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 334. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [Shu05] Fred Shultz. Dimension groups for interval maps. *New York J. Math.*, 11:477–517 (electronic), 2005.

MARÍA ISABEL CORTEZ, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y CIENCIA DE LA COMPUTACIÓN, UNIVERSIDAD DE SANTIAGO DE CHILE, AV. LIBERTADOR BERNARDO O'HIGGINS 3363, SANTIAGO, CHILE.

E-mail address: `maria.cortez@usach.cl`

JUAN RIVERA-LETELIER, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE, AVENIDA VICUÑA MACKENNA 4860, SANTIAGO, CHILE

E-mail address: `riveraletelier@mat.puc.cl`